Serum Bioavailable and Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Levels, but Not Its Total Level, Are Associated With the Risk of Mortality in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease

Chao Yu,* Hongliang Xue,* Liqing Wang, Qian Chen, Xuechen Chen, Yuan Zhang, Gang Hu, Wenhua Ling

<u>Rationale</u>: Bioavailable and free 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) are emerging measurements of vitamin D. Whether serum bioavailable or free 25(OH)D level is associated with mortality in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) is unknown.

<u>Objective</u>: Our aim is to determine the potential association between serum total, bioavailable, and free 25(OH)D levels and the risk of mortality among patients with CAD.

Methods and Results: We measured serum 25(OH) levels in 1387 patients with angiographically confirmed CAD from the Guangdong Coronary Artery Disease Cohort. Serum DBP (vitamin D-binding protein) levels were measured using a polyclonal immunoassay, and serum-free 25(OH)D levels were measured using a 2-step immunoassay. Bioavailable 25(OH)D levels were calculated using a previously validated formula. By the median follow-up time of 6.7 years, 205 patients had died, including 134 deaths from cardiovascular diseases. In multivariate analyses, low serum bioavailable 25(OH)D level was significantly associated with increased risks of mortality, independent of established cardiovascular risk factors, features and treatments of CAD, factors associated with vitamin D and mineral metabolism, and CRP (C-reactive protein). The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios across quartiles of bioavailable 25(OH)D were 1.79, 1.35, 1.36, and 1.00 for all-cause mortality (*P* for trend=0.01) and 2.58, 1.85, 1.73, and 1.00 for cardiovascular mortality (*P* for trend=0.001), respectively. Serum-free 25(OH)D level was inversely associated with the risk of mortality, with the extreme-quartile hazard ratios of 1.64 for all-cause mortality (*P* for trend=0.024) and 1.97 for cardiovascular mortality (*P* for trend=0.013). In contrast, serum total 25(OH)D level was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality or cardiovascular mortality.

<u>Conclusions</u>: Lower serum bioavailable and free 25(OH)D levels rather than total 25(OH)D level are independently associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality in a population-based CAD cohort. (*Circ Res.* 2018;123:996-1007. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313558.)

Key Words: coronary artery disease • mortality • prognosis • risk • vitamin D

Vitamin D deficiency is a prevalent health problem all over the world that has been associated with many chronic diseases, including coronary artery disease (CAD).¹ It has been suggested that vitamin D may play a protective role in the cardiovascular system,² and vitamin D deficiency is associated with cardiovascular events in the general population.^{3,4} However, studies concerning vitamin D status and the prognosis of CAD are scarce, and the conclusions are inconsistent.^{5,6} Furthermore, recent clinical trials that used total 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) for vitamin D status did not prove causality.⁷⁻⁹ Whether vitamin D status is associated with mortality in patients with CAD is still unknown.

Editorial, see p 934 In This Issue, see p 925 Meet the First Author, see p 926

Serum total 25(OH)D is the most commonly used marker for the assessment of vitamin D nutritional status.¹ Approximately 85% to 90% of circulating 25(OH)D are tightly bound to DBP (vitamin D-binding protein), which restricts access of vitamin D metabolites to most cells. While 10% to 15% of 25(OH)D exists in a loose albumin-bound state, and <0.01% of 25(OH) D is free in the circulation.^{10,11} The bioavailable hormone hypothesis suggests that only the nonbound fraction of hormones is

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation Research is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/res

In June 2018, the average time from submission to first decision for all original research papers submitted to *Circulation Research* was 13.26 days. From the Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health (C.Y., H.X., L.W., Q.C., X.C., W.L.), Center for Health Examination, the Third Affiliated Hospital (C.Y.), and Department of Neurology, the Third Affiliated Hospital (L.W.), Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, P.R. China; Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, P.R. China (C.Y., W.L.); Department of Cardiology, General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command of People's Liberation Army, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, P.R. China (Y.Z.); and Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA (G.H.).

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this article.

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313558. Correspondence to Wenhua Ling, Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, 74 Zhongshan Rd 2, Guangzhou 510080, Guangdong Province, China. Email lingwh@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Novelty and Significance

What Is Known?

- Vitamin D is the precursor of a steroid hormone that may be associated with the prognosis of coronary artery disease (CAD).
- The bioavailable hormone hypothesis suggests that only free or bioavailable vitamin D metabolites in circulation are able to enter cells to perform biological actions.

What New Information Does This Article Contribute?

 Serum-free and bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels, but not total 25(OH)D level, are independently associated with the risk of mortality in patients with CAD.

| Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 25(OH)D | 25-hydroxyvitamin D | | | |
| BMI | body mass index | | | |
| CAD | coronary artery disease | | | |
| DBP | vitamin D-binding protein | | | |
| HR | hazard ratio | | | |

able to enter cells to perform biological actions.¹² As a precursor of the steroid hormone calcitriol, the bioavailable vitamin D is consistently defined as the vitamin D metabolites that are easily accessible to most cells. Accordingly, free 25(OH)D is a bioavailable form. The loose albumin-bound 25(OH)D is able to dissociate rapidly in the circulation and is also biologically available for tissues. Thus, bioavailable 25(OH)D is generally recognized as 25(OH)D that is not bound to DBP (free plus albumin-bound 25(OH)D). It has been suggested recently that the traditional vitamin D nutritional status which is assessed using total 25(OH)D may be inaccurate under many pathological conditions,^{13–15} and non-DBP-bound 25(OH)D may be a better biomarker for vitamin D status, highlighting the need for studies with assessment of free or bioavailable 25(OH)D.

As far as we know, most of previous studies focused on vitamin D deficiency and cardiovascular diseases only with regards to total 25(OH)D². Whether serum bioavailable or free 25(OH)D levels are related to the prognosis of established CAD has not been investigated before. Here, we hypothesized that the vitamin D bioavailable status, different from the traditional nutritional status, is related to the prognosis of CAD patients. Therefore, the present study is aimed at evaluating the association of total, bioavailable, and free 25(OH)D with mortality in a cohort of CAD patients diagnosed by coronary angiography.

Methods

The data, analytic methods, and study materials that support the findings of this study are available from corresponding author on reasonable request.

Study Design and Participants

The Guangdong Coronary Artery Disease Cohort is a prospective observational study designed to investigate the influence of genetic, social, and environmental factors on the progression of CAD. Briefly, patients aged 40 to 85 years were recruited from the cardiology Rather than total 25(OH)D levels, the levels of free and bioavailable 25(OH)D may better assess the true vitamin D status. However, the relationship between free or bioavailable 25(OH)D and the prognosis of established CAD has not been examined. In an angiographically confirmed CAD cohort, we found that lower serum free and bioavailable 25(OH)D levels, rather than total 25(OH)D level, were associated with an increased risk of mortality, independent of established cardiovascular risk factors, features and treatments of CAD, CRP (C-reactive protein), and others associated with vitamin D and mineral metabolism. These findings suggest that free and bioavailable 25(OH)D may be useful predictors for the prognosis of patients with CAD.

departments of 3 major hospitals (the First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University; the Second Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University; and General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command of People's Liberation Army) in Guangzhou in South China (23° 16' north latitude) between October 2008 and December 2011. All participants underwent coronary angiography and were diagnosed with CAD according to WHO 1999/2000 guidelines.^{16,17} The rationale and design of this study, selection criteria, methods, and definitions have been previously published.^{18,19} Our study population comprised 1439 participants in whom we performed baseline measurements of serum levels of 25(OH)D and DBP. For the purpose of the current study, we have excluded 31 subjects as they were on moderate- to high- dose vitamin D supplementation ($\geq 400 \text{ U/d}$) treatment. Of the remaining 1408 participants, 21 with missing baseline data of albumin were also excluded, resulting in a final sample size of 1387 (96% of the entire study population). The analysis was restricted to this group. The study protocol was approved by the Sun Yat-sen University Ethics Committee. All participants provided written informed consent.

Analysis of Coronary Angiography

Coronary angiography was performed by at least 2 interventional cardiologists who were unaware of the study protocol. According to the standard definitions of flow-limiting stenoses,^{20,21} we classified the severity of CAD as mild ($\geq 20\%$ -<50\% stenosis in at least 1 major epicardial coronary artery), moderate ($\geq 50\%$ -<70% stenosis), and obstructive CAD ($\geq 50\%$ stenosis in the left main coronary artery, or $\geq 70\%$ in any other coronary artery, or both). Patients with obstructive CAD were further categorized by the number of diseased vessels, namely a single, double, or triple-vessel distribution. Taken together, we defined 5 categories of CAD extent in current study: mild CAD, moderate CAD, and 1-, 2-, and 3-vessel obstructive CAD.

Measurements of 25(OH)D and DBP

Fasting serum samples were collected before performing the planned coronary angiography. They were stored at -80° C. Serum 25(OH)D and DBP were measured from duplicates of frozen sample aliquots that had undergone 1 or 2 freeze cycles. Levels of serum total 25(OH) D (sum of 25(OH) D₂ and D₃) were evaluated by ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variations were $\leq 8.3\%$. DBP levels were measured using a commercial polyclonal antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Immunodiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany). The coefficients of variations for the intra-assay and interassay samples were <10%. Serum DBP levels of Gc1F isoforms have been supposed to be underestimated by using the monoclonal ELISA method.²² However, the polyclonal assay is suggested to be an appropriate method to assess DBP levels in diverse populations.²³

Genotyping for DBP single nucleotide polymorphisms (rs4588 and rs7041) was determined using the multiplex SNaPshot assay with the ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystem, CA).

Calculation of Bioavailable 25(OH)D

Serum bioavailable 25(OH)D was calculated using total 25(OH)D, DBP, albumin levels, and affinity constants for albumin and DBP isoforms^{12,13} (Online Methods).

Measurements of Free 25(OH)D

Serum-free 25(OH)D was directly measured by a 2-step ELISA kit (Future Diagnostics, Wijchen, The Netherlands). The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variations were \leq 7.0%. The values of free 25(OH)D obtained using this method are well consistent with the values obtained with the liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method and centrifugal ultrafiltration.

Measurements of Covariates

A standardized questionnaire to provide general information about age, sex, leisure-time physical activity, smoking habits, medical history, and medicine use, including vitamin D supplements, was used in a face-to-face interview. Medical records were reviewed by medical staff to confirm the clinical characteristics, treatments of patients, and angiographic findings documentations. Anthropometric measurements were conducted by trained nurses using standard protocols and procedures. Body mass index (BMI), in kg/m², was calculated as weight divided by height in meters squared. Blood pressure (BP) was ascertained as the mean of the last 3 seated measurements except for some emergent patients (<2%). Smoking status was defined as >1 cigarette per day and lasting >6 months, and classified as never, past, or current. Diabetes mellitus was defined by elevated fasting glucose levels (≥126 mg/dL) or the use of antidiabetic medication (oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin). Patients were considered to have acute CAD if they were diagnosed with myocardial infarction (with or without ST-segment elevation) or unstable angina.²¹ We calculated the estimated glomerular filtration rate with the use of the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation²⁴ and chronic kidney disease was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min per 1.73 m².

The biochemical variables, including albumin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL (high-density lipoprotein) cholesterol, LDL (low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol, creatinine, calcium, phosphorus, and CRP (C-reactive protein) were immediately tested by standard methods using a Hitachi automatic analyzer 7600-020 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and using Roche reagents (Roche Diagnostics Corp, Germany). Parathyroid hormone was measured with a commercially available ELISA kit (Abnova, Taibei, Taiwan). All interassay and intra-assay coefficients of variations were $\leq 8.6\%$.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes were all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality. Annual follow-up information was collected and confirmed by a combination of medical records, telephone interviews with patients or family members, and death registration from Guangdong Provincial Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The surveys were followed to the end of June 2017 or patient death, whichever occurred first. Death certificates were coded by nosologists according to the *International Classification of Diseases*. Cardiovascular mortality was defined by *International Classification of Diseases Tenth Revision* codes 100-199.

Statistical Analyses

The baseline clinical characteristics were expressed as means (SD) or medians (interquartile ranges) for continuous variables and percentages (%) for categorical variables. The skewed variables were log transformed before analysis. Serum levels of total, bioavailable, and free 25(OH)D were initially assessed as categorical variables by categorizing into quartiles and then as continuous variable by per SD decrement. Using the Cox proportional hazards models, hazard ratios (HRs) of mortality with 95% CIs were calculated from 2 sets of models: age- and sex-adjusted models, as well as multivariable models adjusted for conventional risk factors for cardiovascular disease²⁵ (smoking status, presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, systolic BP, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and BMI), features of CAD (presence or absence of acute CAD and coronary artery stenosis extent), treatments of CAD (presence or absence of coronary revascularization, use or nonuse of statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, and β -blockers), factors associated with vitamin D and mineral metabolism¹ (season of blood-drawing, leisure-time physical activity, estimated glomerular filtration rate, parathyroid hormone, and calcium), and biomarker of inflammation (CRP). To display continuous associations of vitamin D with mortality, we examined the Cox regression model using restricted cubic splines with 4 degrees of freedom. And cumulative survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test.

In addition, we performed an analysis on subpopulations stratified by age, sex, BMI, obstructive CAD, chronic kidney disease, and hypertension. To investigate whether the addition of bioavailable or free 25(OH)D to the established cardiovascular risk factors could provide a better prediction on mortality risk, we compared the predictive ability of a model that included the conventional cardiovascular risk factors^{25,26} with a corresponding model to which bioavailable or free 25(OH)D was added. The added predictive ability was assessed by C statistics.²⁷ All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 19.0 (IBM SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and STATA 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). A 2-sided *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the 1387 patients are presented in Table 1. At the baseline, the mean age was 63.2 years, and 65.1% of the participants were men, 31.9% were current smokers, 23.7% had diabetes mellitus, and 90.1% had hypertension. Median (interquartile range) serum total, bioavailable, and free 25(OH)D levels were 20.5 (16.6, 25.1) ng/mL, 3.17 (2.11, 4.87) ng/mL, and 4.52 (3.54, 5.95) pg/mL respectively.

The correlation between total, bioavailable, and free 25(OH)D and CAD patient characteristics is shown in Online Table I. Serum total 25(OH)D was associated with sex, presence of diabetes mellitus, season, leisure-time physical activity, calcium, parathyroid hormone, CAD extent, and CRP in the multivariate model. Meanwhile, serum bioavailable and free 25(OH)D were associated with sex, BMI, parathyroid hormone, and season in the same model. There was a strong correlation between serum bioavailable and free 25(OH)D (r=0.811; P<0.001; Online Figure I).

Serum Bioavailable 25(OH)D and Mortality

After a median follow-up of 6.7 years, there were 205 deaths recorded. Of them, 134 patients died of cardiovascular diseases. Figure 1A and 1B shows a significant inverse association between serum bioavailable 25(OH)D as quartiles and the risks of both all-cause mortality (Kaplan-Meier, log-rank P=0.03) and cardiovascular mortality (log-rank P=0.003). In age- and sex-models, HRs across quartiles of serum bioavailable 25(OH)D were 1.98, 1.63, 1.52, and 1.00 for all-cause mortality (P for trend=0.001) and 2.89, 2.26, 1.89, and 1.00 for cardiovascular mortality (P for trend < 0.001), respectively. This association remained significant even further adjustment for conventional cardiovascular risk factors, features and treatments of CAD, factors associated with vitamin D and mineral metabolism, and CRP. When serum bioavailable 25(OH) D was examined as a continuous variable, the multivariateadjusted HRs for each 1 SD decrease in serum bioavailable

| | Bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Characteristics | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | <i>P</i> Value |
| Cut points, ng/mL | ≤2.11 | 2.12-3.17 | 3.18-4.87 | ≥4.88 | |
| Participants, N | 346 | 347 | 347 | 347 | |
| Vitamin D metabolism factors | · · | · | | 1 | |
| 25-hydroxyvitamin D, median (IQR), ng/mL* | 16.8 (12.6–21.0) | 20.4 (17.3–24.5) | 21.3 (17.1–26.4) | 23.2 (19.8–27.4) | <0.001 |
| Free 25-hydroxyvitamin D, median (IQR), pg/mL* | 3.17 (2.68–3.74) | 4.19 (3.73–4.66) | 5.14 (4.30–5.89) | 7.41 (5.89–8.37) | <0.001 |
| Vitamin D-binding protein, median (IQR), $\mu\text{g/mL}^{\star}$ | 284.7 (218.1–354.9) | 209.7 (162.1–256.8) | 139.0 (102.8–181.9) | 76.9 (57.0–98.4) | <0.001 |
| Vitamin D-binding protein isoform, N (%) | · | | | | |
| Gc-1S/GC-1S | 49 (14.1) | 25 (7.2) | 34 (9.8) | 31 (8.9) | <0.001 |
| Gc-1S/GC-1F | 85 (24.6) | 89 (25.6) | 85 (24.5) | 62 (17.9) | <0.001 |
| Gc-1S/GC-2 | 59 (17.1) | 72 (20.7) | 72 (20.7) | 61 (17.6) | <0.001 |
| Gc-1F/GC-1F | 73 (21.1) | 61 (17.6) | 47 (13.5) | 69 (19.9) | <0.001 |
| Gc-1F/GC-2 | 44 (12.7) | 73 (21.0) | 83 (23.9) | 85 (24.5) | <0.001 |
| Gc-2/GC-2 | 36 (10.4) | 27 (7.8) | 26 (7.5) | 39 (11.2) | <0.001 |
| Albumin, median (IQR), g/L* | 39.7 (37.5–41.7) | 40.1 (37.8–42.0) | 40.3 (38.1–42.9) | 40.4 (38.3–42.5) | 0.001 |
| Season of blood-drawing, N (%) | | | | | |
| Spring | 110 (31.8) | 80 (23.1) | 70 (20.2) | 59 (17.0) | <0.001 |
| Summer | 112 (32.4) | 122 (35.2) | 134 (38.6) | 112 (32.3) | <0.001 |
| Autumn | 93 (26.9) | 102 (29.4) | 107 (30.8) | 126 (36.3) | <0.001 |
| Winter | 31 (9.0) | 43 (12.4) | 36 (10.4) | 50 (14.4) | <0.001 |
| Clinical characteristics | | | | | |
| Age, mean (SD), y | 63.3 (12.3) | 63.9 (11.1) | 62.8 (11.2) | 63.0 (11.7) | 0.576 |
| Men, N (%) | 211 (61.0) | 229 (66.0) | 222 (64.0) | 241 (69.5) | 0.122 |
| Body mass index,† mean (SD), kg/m ² | 23.8 (3.7) | 23.6 (3.2) | 24.3 (3.7) | 24.1 (3.2) | 0.027 |
| Smoking status, N (%) | | | | | |
| Never | 212 (61.3) | 203 (58.5) | 204 (58.8) | 194 (55.9) | 0.746 |
| Former | 29 (8.4) | 30 (8.6) | 33 (9.5) | 40 (11.5) | 0.746 |
| Current | 105 (30.3) | 114 (32.9) | 110 (31.7) | 113 (32.6) | 0.746 |
| Leisure-time physical activity, N (%) | | | | | |
| None | 145 (41.9) | 120 (34.6) | 121 (34.9) | 140 (40.3) | 0.305 |
| <30 min/d | 82 (23.7) | 97 (28.0) | 91 (26.2) | 79 (22.8) | 0.305 |
| ≥30 min/d | 119 (34.4) | 130 (37.5) | 135 (38.9) | 128 (36.9) | 0.305 |
| Presence of hypertension, N (%) | 310 (89.6) | 316 (91.1) | 307 (88.5) | 316 (91.1) | 0.606 |
| Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mm Hg | 133.0 (22.9) | 134.5 (22.4) | 133.6 (22.8) | 133.2 (21.3) | 0.823 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mm Hg | 76.1 (12.8) | 77.5 (13.1) | 76.3 (13.1) | 77.0 (11.8) | 0.461 |
| Presence of diabetes mellitus, N (%) | 77 (22.3) | 87 (25.1) | 81 (23.3) | 83 (23.9) | 0.851 |
| Total cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 184.6 (44.4) | 179.2 (42.5) | 179.2 (40.5) | 179.5 (42.9) | 0.24 |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 41.7 (10.8) | 47.3 (10.8) | 42.1 (10.8) | 42.8 (10.8) | 0.231 |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 115.8 (37.5) | 112.4 (35.5) | 112.7 (37.5) | 115.4 (39.0) | 0.443 |
| Coronary factors | | | | | |
| Presence of acute CAD, N (%) | 218 (63.0) | 223 (64.3) | 208 (59.9) | 206 (59.4) | 0.481 |
| CAD extent, N (%) | | | | | |
| Mild | 34 (9.8) | 41 (11.8) | 74 (21.3) | 65 (18.7) | < 0.001 |

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients by Quartiles of Bioavailable 25-Hydroxyvitamin D

(Continued)

Table 1. Continued

| | Bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Characteristics | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | <i>P</i> Value | |
| Moderate | 122 (35.3) | 98 (28.3) | 74 (21.3) | 91 (26.2) | <0.001 | |
| 1-vessel obstructive | 30 (8.7) | 25 (7.2) | 26 (7.5) | 29 (8.4) | <0.001 | |
| 2-vessel obstructive | 70 (20.2) | 67 (19.3) | 65 (18.7) | 79 (22.8) | <0.001 | |
| 3-vessel obstructive | 90 (26.0) | 116 (33.4) | 108 (31.1) | 83 (23.9) | <0.001 | |
| Presence coronary revascularization, N (%) | 188 (54.3) | 198 (57.1) | 202 (58.2) | 192 (55.3) | 0.736 | |
| Use of medicine | | | | | | |
| Statins, N (%) | 265 (76.6) | 272 (78.4) | 279 (80.4) | 271 (78.1) | 0.68 | |
| β-blockers, N (%) | 193 (55.8) | 197 (56.8) | 203 (58.5) | 186 (53.6) | 0.622 | |
| ACEIs/ARBs, N (%) | 191 (55.2) | 201 (57.9) | 184 (53.0) | 203 (58.5) | 0.436 | |
| Mineral metabolism | | | | | | |
| eGFR‡ of <60 mL/min per 1.73 m², N (%) | 55 (15.9) | 58 (16.7) | 50 (14.4) | 49 (14.1) | 0.749 | |
| Parathyroid hormone, median (IQR), pg/mL* | 78.6 (51.1–123.3) | 72.1 (50.5–111.7) | 67.6 (46.9–100.5) | 63.0 (44.2–95.3) | <0.001 | |
| Calcium, median (IQR), mg/dL* | 8.88 (8.52–9.20) | 9.00 (8.64–9.32) | 9.00 (8.68–9.36) | 8.96 (8.68–9.28) | 0.123 | |
| Inflammatory markers | | | | | | |
| C-reactive protein, median (IQR), mg/L* | 4.12 (1.13–14.21) | 4.10 (1.24–13.75) | 3.80 (0.90–13.63) | 2.95 (0.79–11.35) | 0.103 | |

SI conversion factors: to convert 25-hydroxyvitamin D to nmol/L, multiply by 2.496; cholesterol to mmol/L, multiply by 0.0259; calcium to mmol/L, multiply by 0.25; phosphorus to mmol/L, multiply by 0.323; C-reactive protein to nmol/L, multiply by 9.524. ACEI indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; CAD, coronary artery disease; IQR, interquartile range; and eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

*The skewed variables were log transformed before analysis.

+Body mass index was the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

‡The eGFR was calculated with the use of the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation.

25(OH)D were 1.24 (95% CI, 1.06–1.47) for all-cause mortality and 1.38 (95% CI, 1.11–1.71) for cardiovascular morality, respectively (Table 2). The restricted cubic splines further displayed a dose-response association between bioavailable 25(OH)D and mortality (Figure 2A and 2B).

Serum-Free 25(OH)D and Mortality

Similar to bioavailable 25(OH)D, low serum-free 25(OH) D levels also had an association with increased risks of allcause mortality (log-rank P=0.03; Figure 1C) and cardiovascular mortality (log-rank P=0.016; Figure 1D). The multivariable-adjusted HRs across quartiles of free 25(OH) D were 1.64, 1.35, 1.23, and 1.00 for all-cause mortality (Pfor trend=0.024), and 1.97, 1.59, 1.40, and 1.00 for cardiovascular mortality (P for trend=0.013), and the multivariateadjusted HRs for each 1 SD decrease in serum-free 25(OH) D were 1.23 (95% CI, 1.05–1.45) for all-cause mortality, and 1.31 (95% CI, 1.07–1.61) for cardiovascular morality, respectively (Table 2; Figure 2C and 2D).

Serum Total 25(OH)D and Mortality

There was no significant association between serum total 25(OH)D and the risk of mortality in the multivariable models (Table 2). When serum total 25(OH)D was examined as a continuous variable (each 1 SD decrease), the direction or magnitude of estimates did not change to any relevant extent. To better define the individual contribution of the confounder to the association between 25(OH)D and mortality, we further assessed the percent change in the magnitude of the association before and after adjustment for each confounder, and

found that CRP, extent of CAD, and season of blood-drawing were largely responsible for the attenuated associations (Online Figure II).

DBP and Mortality

No significant difference was observed in terms of mortality according to the different allelic variants of DBP (Online Table II). In addition, there was no association of serum DBP levels with mortality using the Cox proportional hazards models that incorporated DBP as quartiles or a continuous variable (Online Table III).

Discrimination

The incorporation of a combination of bioavailable 25(OH) D into a model with conventional cardiovascular risk factors improved the prediction capability of cardiovascular death (C statistic with serum bioavailable 25(OH)D versus without serum bioavailable 25(OH)D, 0.733 versus 0.715, P=0.042; C statistic increment, 0.018; 95% CI, 0.001–0.034; Online Table IV).

Subgroup Analyses

As shown in Table 3, the association between bioavailable and free 25(OH)D levels and cardiovascular mortality was evident in patients with uncontrolled hypertension (BP \geq 140/90 mmHg or higher) but not in those with BP <140/90 mmHg (*P* for interaction <0.05). There was no significant association between bioavailable or free 25(OH)D levels and mortality based on age, sex, BMI, estimated glomerular filtration rate, or extent of CAD (Table 3).



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plots for all-cause mortality (A) and cardiovascular mortality (B) according to bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH) D) quartiles and all-cause mortality (C) and cardiovascular mortality (D) according to free 25(OH)D quartiles among patients with coronary artery disease.

Discussion

We present in this study that lower serum bioavailable and free 25(OH)D levels are associated with increased risks of allcause mortality and cardiovascular mortality among patients with CAD, independent of established cardiovascular risk factors, features and treatments of CAD, factors associated with vitamin D and mineral metabolism, and CRP. In contrast, serum total 25(OH)D levels are not associated with the risk of mortality once the confounders were accounted for various cardiovascular protective actions of vitamin D have been demonstrated.^{28,29} However, 2 published cohort studies, the LURIC study (Ludwigshafen Risk and Cardiovascular Health)⁶ and the KAROLA study (Langzeiterfolge der KARdiOLogischen Anschlussheilbehandlung),⁵ have addressed the prognostic value of total 25(OH)D for mortality among suspected CAD patients. Both studies were conducted in Germany while the authors have drawn opposite conclusions. The LURIC study suggested an independent association of low 25(OH)D levels with mortality in a population scheduled for coronary angiography. The KAROLA study and our current study, however, did not support such association. It needs to be pointed out that total 25(OH)D levels presented in the LURIC study were much lower than that in our current study. The difference could be attributed to several potential reasons, including the lower latitude of Guangzhou and the racial differences. Of note, despite geographical and racial heterogeneities, there was no data on the angiographic findings in previous observational studies. Thus, effects of CAD extent on mortality, which may be a substantially confounding factor from CAD features, were not available before. In general,

Table 2. HRs for Mortality According to Total, Bioavailable, and Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D in Patients With CAD

| | Total 25-Hydroxyvitamin D | | | | | 25-Hydroxyvitamin D as | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P for Trend | a Continuous Variable (1 SD Decrease) | |
| All-cause mortality | | | | | | | |
| No. of deaths/person-years | 73/2043 | 47/2194 | 42/2294 | 43/2354 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.80 (1.22-2.64) | 1.13 (0.75–1.72) | 1.04 (0.68–1.59) | 1 | 0.002 | 1.29 (1.11–1.50) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI)* | 1.36 (0.88–2.12) | 0.91 (0.58–1.44) | 0.99 (0.63–1.56) | 1 | 0.159 | 1.16 (0.98–1.37) | |
| Cardiovascular mortality | | | | | | | |
| No. of deaths/person-years | 51/2043 | 28/2194 | 27/2294 | 28/2354 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.95 (1.22–3.13) | 1.06 (0.62–1.80) | 1.04 (0.61–1.76) | 1 | 0.004 | 1.34 (1.11–1.62) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.49 (0.87–2.56) | 0.84 (0.47–1.51) | 0.98 (0.56–1.74) | 1 | 0.145 | 1.20 (0.98–1.48) | |
| | | Bioavailable 25-hy | droxyvitamin D | | | Bioavailable | |
| | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | <i>P</i> for Trend | 25-hydroxyvitamin D as a Continuous Variable (1 SD Decrease) | |
| All-cause mortality | Qualitio | Quarato 2 | quarano o | quartito | | (100 0000000) | |
| No. of deaths/person-years | 63/2141 | 56/2183 | 48/2250 | 38/2310 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HB (95% Cl) | 1 98 (1 32–2 97) | 1 63 (1 08–2 46) | 1 52 (0 99–2 33) | 1 | 0.001 | 1 32 (1 13–1 54) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% Cl) | 1 79 (1 17–2 72) | 1.35 (0.88–2.06) | 1.36 (0.88-2.12) | 1 | 0.001 | 1 25 (1 06–1 47) | |
| Cardiovascular mortality | | 1.00 (0.00 2.00) | 1.00 (0.00 2.12) | | 0.01 | 1.20 (1.00 1.11) | |
| No. of deaths/nerson-vears | 46/2141 | 39/2183 | 30/2250 | 19/2310 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HB (95% Cl) | 2 89 (1 69–4 95) | 2 26 (1 30-3 91) | 1 89 (1 06–3 37) | 1 | < 0.001 | 1 48 (1 21–1 82) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% Cl) | 2 58 (1 47–4 52) | 1 85 (1 05–3 25) | 1 73 (0 96–3 13) | 1 | 0.001 | 1.39 (1.12–1.72) | |
| | Eree 25-hydroxyyitamin D | | | | 0.001 | Free 25-hydroxyvitamin | |
| | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | <i>P</i> for Trend | D as a Continuous Variable (1 SD Decrease) | |
| All-cause mortality | 1 | | · | | | | |
| No. of deaths/person-years | 67/2186 | 53/2242 | 47/2254 | 38/2202 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.78 (1.20–2.66) | 1.60 (1.05–2.43) | 1.37 (0.89–2.10) | 1 | 0.003 | 1.30 (1.12–1.51) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.64 (1.08–2.50) | 1.35 (0.87–2.07) | 1.23 (0.92–1.65) | 1 | 0.024 | 1.23 (1.05–1.45) | |
| Cardiovascular mortality | | | | | | | |
| No. of deaths/person-years | 47/2186 | 36/2242 | 29/2254 | 22/2202 | | | |
| Age- and sex- adjusted HR (95% CI) | 2.19 (1.32–3.64) | 1.88 (1.11–3.21) | 1.45 (0.83–2.53) | 1 | 0.002 | 1.39 (1.15–1.69) | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1.97 (1.15–3.37) | 1.59 (0.91–2.76) | 1.40 (0.79–2.49) | 1 | 0.013 | 1.31 (1.07–1.61) | |
| · | | | | | | | |

CAD indicates coronary artery disease; and HR, hazard ratio.

*Adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, systolic pressure, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, extent of CAD, presence or absence of acute CAD, presence or absence of coronary revascularization, use or nonuse of statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, and β -blockers, season of blood-drawing, leisure-time physical activity, estimated glomerular filtration rate, calcium, parathyroid hormone, and C-reactive protein.

obstructive CAD patients are considered to have a more severe disease status than patients with a nonobstructive manifestation. Patients who had significant CAD at admission were more likely to lack the exposure to sunlight and may be susceptible to vitamin D deficiency. We have consistently found that total 25(OH) D levels were significantly associated with coronary artery stenosis extent at the baseline, confirming that low total 25(OH)D levels may be a manifestation of CAD severity, and worse health status may explain the association between total 25(OH)D and mortality in patients with CAD. In contrast, we have observed an independent association between serum bioavailable and free 25(OH)D levels and mortality, indicating that bioavailable and free 25(OH)D rather than total 25(OH)D are an independent predictor of adverse outcomes among CAD patients. On the basis of data for cardiovascular mortality, bioavailable 25(OH)D was likely to be a more specific vitamin D biomarker to estimate the risk of cardiovascular mortality than total 25(OH)D according to the discrimination analysis. Although to date there is little evidence that poor vitamin D bioavailable status is capable of providing future insight about the risk of mortality among CAD patients beyond total 25(OH)D, it has been suggested that bioavailable 25(OH)D might be better correlated with measures of mineral metabolism than total 25(OH)D in end-stage renal disease patients.³⁰ In another population-based study, low levels of bioavailable 25(OH)D as opposed to total 25(OH)D were found to be associated with new onset end-stage renal disease.¹⁴ The relationship of bioavailable 25(OH)D and kidney disease may be a special case. However, renal insufficiency also predicts cardiovascular events among patients with CAD independently.^{31,32} Furthermore, a recent community-based study demonstrated an association between bioavailable 25(OH)D and cardiovascular events in white populations.³³ These emerging evidence and our results highlight that assessment of vitamin D bioavailable status may be useful for the prediction of cardiovascular events.

Previous studies have demonstrated an interaction between vitamin D deficiency and hypertension,³ and we consistently found a stronger association between bioavailable 25(OH) D and mortality among patients with uncontrolled hypertension. It is well known that vitamin D is a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system,³⁴ and hypertension promotes left ventricular hypertrophy, resulting in increased myocardial oxygen demand and decreased subendocardial perfusion.²¹ It is likely that vitamin D deficiency, with its adverse effects of suppression of the rennin-angiotensin-aldosterone

system, increased BP, together with the direct effect on cardiovascular system itself, promotes progress of CAD.

There remains scarce data on the role of vitamin D supplementation on cardiovascular outcomes. Nor did limited randomized controlled trials provide us with conclusive evidence.^{2,7,8} The recent EVITA study (Effect of Vitamin D on All-Cause Mortality in Heart Failure Patients), which had an inclusion criteria of baseline serum 25(OH)D levels <30 ng/ mL, demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation did not reduce mortality in patients with heart failure.7 Another clinical trial with the interventional aim to increase the serum 25(OH) D levels to 32 to 40 ng/mL demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation did not prevent cardiovascular diseases in general population.8 It is important to note that these randomized controlled trials only used total 25(OH)D to evaluate vitamin D status of subjects. However, as mentioned previously, the association between total 25(OH)D and cardiovascular events can be modified by residual confounding such as poor health status. Thus, these trials cannot assess the comprehensive vitamin D status of individuals in absence of bioavailable 25(OH) D measurements, leading to selection and information biases.

An additional consideration for vitamin D status beyond the traditional biomarker is DBP. In addition to its critical determination on the bioavailability of vitamin D, DBP per se is a multi-functional globulin with potential implication in the development and prognosis of cardiovascular diseases.^{33,35} A



Figure 2. Multivariable-adjusted spline functions demonstrate the relation between bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) and all-cause mortality (A) and cardiovascular mortality (B) and the relation between free 25(OH)D and all-cause mortality (C) and cardiovascular mortality (D). The histogram of the distribution of bioavailable or free 25(OH)D levels is also shown. Deaths were shown in dark brown column, and survivals were shown in light brown column.

Table 3. Hazard Ratios* for Mortality According to Serum Bioavailable and Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D in CAD Patients of Various Subpopulations

| Subportationof ParticipantsQuartile 1Quartile 2Quartile 3Quartile 4TreeInteractionAlf-case mortality <th></th> <th rowspan="2">No. of Death/No. of Participants</th> <th colspan="4">Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D</th> <th>Pfor</th> <th>Pfor</th> | | No. of Death/No. of Participants | Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D | | | | Pfor | Pfor |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| AlexamentalityAge roups, yIII <td>Subpopulation</td> <td>Quartile 1</td> <td>Quartile 2</td> <td>Quartile 3</td> <td>Quartile 4</td> <td>Trend</td> <td>Interaction</td> | Subpopulation | | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | Trend | Interaction |
| Apg groups, y Ind Ind <thind< th=""> <t< td=""><td>All-cause mortality</td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></thind<> | All-cause mortality | · | | | | | | |
| sk85427251.43 (0.58-3.65)1.32 (0.51-3.49)1.18 (0.51-3.09)1.10.4330.133> 5651656622.04 (1.27-3.28)1.38 (0.8-2.28)1.18 (0.8-2.59)1.10.0990.008Sex groups1.41/031.92 (1.14-3.25)1.55 (0.98-2.77)1.34 (0.77-2.34)1.10.009Women64/4841.98 (0.90-4.36)0.32 (0.36-1.86)1.37 (0.59-3.16)1.100.0092.421.277362.72 (1.53-4.82)1.47 (0.82-267)1.78 (0.97-3.28)1.00.0302.241.277360.94 (0.47-1.89)1.19 (0.61-2.30)1.11 (0.54-2.57)1.00.0402.241.267360.94 (0.47-1.89)1.19 (0.61-2.30)1.11 (0.54-2.57)1.00.0402.24786510.94 (0.47-1.89)1.91 (0.73 (0.37-1.47)1.91 (0.11-35)1.00.0402.24786510.94 (0.47-1.89)1.91 (0.71-3.9)1.91 (0.11-35)1.00.0402.24786510.94 (0.47-1.89)1.94 (0.91-2.99)1.91 (1.43.57)1.00.0415.241.11 (0.47-1.39)1.94 (0.11-2.91)1.94 (0.11-3.19)1.00.0416.767121.29 (0.83-2.71)0.13 (0.71-4.9)1.97 (0.5-4.8)1.910.0402.46091.161 (0.541.96 (0.54-3.9)1.97 (0.5-4.8)1.10.0212.40991.121391.36 (0.72-6.3)1.38 (0.8-2.72)1.140.0211.2012.40991.121393.05 (1.53-6.09)2.20 (0.63-7.7)1.86 (0.74-8.5)1.10.021 <td>Age groups, y</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.745</td> | Age groups, y | | | | | | | 0.745 |
| >65163/0622.04 (1.27-3.28)1.38 (0.84-2.59)1.58 (0.96-2.59)1.10.090.82Sex groups141/0331.92 (1.14-3.25)1.65 (0.98-2.77)1.34 (0.77-2.30)1.10.107Women1.18 (0.90-4.36)0.82 (0.36-1.68)1.37 (0.59-3.16)1.00.020.92Sex groups1.127.7782.72 (1.53-4.82)1.147 (0.82-2.67)1.78 (0.97-3.28)1.10.032.240.86 (0.91-1.89)1.19 (0.61-2.30)1.110 (0.54-2.25)1.00.820.82Destructive84/5991.50 (0.83-2.71)0.73 (0.37-1.44)0.86 (0.11-1.81)1.00.89Obstructive84/5991.50 (0.83-2.71)1.54 (0.91-2.96)1.99 (1.11-3.57)1.10.40Obstructive84/5991.93 (1.06-3.51)1.68 (0.71-9.99)1.87 (0.75-4.52)1.00.05Sex groups1.41/1781.93 (1.06-3.51)1.86 (0.71-9.91)1.87 (0.87-8.42)1.00.05Sex groups1.44/1741.93 (1.06-2.44)1.31 (0.62-2.40)1.90.021.00.02Sex groups, y1.30 (1.75-8.52)2.10 (0.97-4.30)1.00.021.91.90.9Sex groups, y1.99 (1.42-8.51)1.73 (0.37-4.51)1.73 (0.37-4.52)1.80 (0.39-3.52)1.10.0611.50 (0.37-3.52)1.53 (0.36-3.50)1.90.55Sex groups, y1.55 (0.57-6.52)2.25 (1.25-6.51)1.20 (0.36-3.50)1.61 (0.53-3.50)1.63 (0.36-3.51)1.61 (0.511.55 (0.57-6.52)2.56 (1.7-6.52)1.20 | ≤65 | 42/725 | 1.43 (0.56–3.65) | 1.32 (0.51–3.43) | 1.18 (0.51–3.09) | 1 | 0.433 | |
| Sex groupsImage <td>>65</td> <td>163/662</td> <td>2.04 (1.27-3.28)</td> <td>1.38 (0.84–2.25)</td> <td>1.58 (0.96–2.59)</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.009</td> <td></td> | >65 | 163/662 | 2.04 (1.27-3.28) | 1.38 (0.84–2.25) | 1.58 (0.96–2.59) | 1 | 0.009 | |
| Men141/9031.92 (1.14-3.25)1.65 (0.98-2.77)1.34 (0.77-2.39)1.10.009Women64/4841.98 (0.90-4.36)0.20 (0.36-1.86)1.37 (0.59-3.16)1.10.103BML kg/m²1277362.72 (1.53-4.82)1.47 (0.82-2.67)1.78 (0.97-3.28)1.10.003-2478/6510.94 (0.47-1.89)1.19 (0.61-2.30)1.11 (0.54-2.25)1.00.966Extent of CADII0.94 (0.47-1.89)1.99 (1.1-3.07)1.100.960Extent of CADII.50 (0.82-7.1)0.73 (0.37-1.44)0.86 (0.41-1.81)1.00.98Obstructive84/5991.50 (0.82-7.1)0.36 (0.41-1.81)1.00.990.874GEFR, mL/min pt 1.73 m²II.30 (0.75-4.62)1.10.0910.874 | Sex groups | | | | | | | 0.848 |
| Women64/4841.98 (0.90-4.36)0.82 (0.3e1.46)1.37 (0.59-3.16)1.10.107BMI, kg/m²II </td <td>Men</td> <td>141/903</td> <td>1.92 (1.14–3.25)</td> <td>1.65 (0.98–2.77)</td> <td>1.34 (0.77–2.34)</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.009</td> <td></td> | Men | 141/903 | 1.92 (1.14–3.25) | 1.65 (0.98–2.77) | 1.34 (0.77–2.34) | 1 | 0.009 | |
| BMI, kg/m²II | Women | 64/484 | 1.98 (0.90–4.36) | 0.82 (0.36–1.86) | 1.37 (0.59–3.16) | 1 | 0.107 | |
| -244127736 $2.72(153-48)$ $1.47(0.82-26)$ $1.19(0.61-200)$ $1.11(0.54-28)$ 1.1 0.001 0.001 $Exten CAQ$ 7.8651 $0.94(0.47-1.80)$ $1.19(0.61-200)$ $1.11(0.54-28)$ 1.1 0.161 0.561 $Exten CAQ$ $1.50(0.83-2.7)$ $0.73(0.37-1.40)$ $0.68(0.41-1.81)$ 0.161 0.389 0.389 $0.0bstructow1217801.93(0.63-5.1)0.73(0.37-1.40)1.99(1.11-3.7)0.1610.3930.0bstructow1217801.92(0.85-5.6)1.68(0.71-3.90)1.99(1.71-5.7)1.0010.0020.6FR, ml/ml per 1.3701.2022.23(0.89-5.50)1.68(0.71-3.90)1.97(1.75-6.8)0.100.0022.6001.80(1.71-20)1.31(0.79-2.7)1.34(0.80-2.8)0.110.0020.0022.410909.37633.05(1.55-6.0)2.01(0.99-4.0)1.39(0.75-6.8)0.100.0022.410909.37633.05(1.55-6.0)1.31(0.79-2.7)1.49(0.8-2.8)0.100.0022.410909.37633.05(1.55-6.8)1.20(0.8-2.8)1.10.0020.0022.410909.37632.65(1.75-6.8)2.10(0.8-2.8)1.10.0210.0212.4900ps, M9.7722.65(1.75-6.8)1.20(0.8-2.8)1.10.0210.0213.4900ps, M9.7722.65(1.75-6.8)1.20(0.8-2.8)1.10.0210.1010.1010.1010.1010.1$ | BMI, kg/m ² | | | | | | | 0.09 |
| ≥2478/6510.94 (0.47-1.89)1.19 (0.61-2.30)1.11 (0.54-2.25)10.160.554Extent of CAD84/5991.50 (0.83-2.71)0.73 (0.37-1.44)0.86 (0.41-1.81)10.3890.554Nonobstructive84/5991.93 (1.08-3.51)1.64 (0.91-2.96)1.99 (1.1-3.57)1.10.140.389Obstructive57/2122.23 (0.89-5.59)1.66 (0.71-3.99)1.87 (0.75-4.62)1.10.0390.032S60148/11751.80 (1.10-2.96)1.31 (0.79-2.17)1.34 (0.80-2.86)1.10.0320.032S10od pressure, mHg1110.3910.3020.313 (0.75-4.62)1.10.140.032S140/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.8-3.86)1.10.0320.331S440/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.8-3.86)1.10.0320.331S440/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.8-3.86)1.10.0320.331S440/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.8-3.86)1.10.0320.331 </td <td><24</td> <td>127/736</td> <td>2.72 (1.53–4.82)</td> <td>1.47 (0.82–2.67)</td> <td>1.78 (0.97–3.28)</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.003</td> <td></td> | <24 | 127/736 | 2.72 (1.53–4.82) | 1.47 (0.82–2.67) | 1.78 (0.97–3.28) | 1 | 0.003 | |
| Extent of CADImage: space of the systemImage: space of the systemSete | ≥24 | 78/651 | 0.94 (0.47–1.89) | 1.19 (0.61–2.30) | 1.11 (0.54–2.25) | 1 | 0.966 | |
| Nonobstructive84/5991.50 (0.83-2.71)0.73 (0.37-1.44)0.86 (0.41-1.81)10.3890.481Obstructive121/7881.93 (1.06-3.51)1.64 (0.91-2.96)1.99 (1.11-3.57)10.0460.847eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²12.23 (0.89-5.59)1.68 (0.71-3.99)1.87 (0.75-4.62)10.0990.035≥60148/1752.23 (0.89-5.59)1.31 (0.79-2.17)1.34 (0.80-2.26)10.0350.035≥1000 pressure, mH912.23 (0.89-5.69)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.88-3.69)1.00.032≥140/9093/5483.05 (1.52-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.88-3.69)1.00.02<140/90 | Extent of CAD | | | | | | | 0.554 |
| Obstructive121/7881.93 (1.06-3.51)1.64 (0.91-2.90)1.99 (1.11-3.57)1.10.046GGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²0.847<60 | Nonobstructive | 84/599 | 1.50 (0.83–2.71) | 0.73 (0.37–1.44) | 0.86 (0.41-1.81) | 1 | 0.389 | |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²Image: Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark | Obstructive | 121/788 | 1.93 (1.06–3.51) | 1.64 (0.91–2.96) | 1.99 (1.11–3.57) | 1 | 0.046 | |
| <6057/2122.23 0.89-5.591.68 0.71-3.991.87 (0.75-4.62)10.099≥60148/11751.80 (1.10-2.96)1.31 (0.79-2.17)1.34 (0.80-2.26)10.035Blod pressure, mm HgIIIII0.032≥140/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.88-3.86)10.002Cardiovascular mortalityIII2/8391.36 (0.76-2.44)1.13 (0.62-2.04)1.39 (0.78-2.48)10.001Cardiovascular mortalityIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | | | | | | | 0.847 |
| ≥60148/11751.80 (1.10-2.96)1.31 (0.79-2.17)1.34 (0.80-2.26)10.035Blod pressure, mmHg0.0320.032≥140/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.88-3.86)10.002<112/839 | <60 | 57/212 | 2.23 (0.89–5.59) | 1.68 (0.71–3.99) | 1.87 (0.75–4.62) | 1 | 0.099 | |
| Blod pressure, mm Hg | ≥60 | 148/1175 | 1.80 (1.10–2.96) | 1.31 (0.79–2.17) | 1.34 (0.80–2.26) | 1 | 0.035 | |
| ≥140/9093/5483.05 (1.53-6.09)2.01 (0.99-4.07)1.85 (0.88-3.68)10.002<140/90 | Blood pressure, mm Hg | | | | | | | 0.032 |
| <140/90112/8391.36 (0.7e-2.44)1.13 (0.62-2.04)1.39 (0.7e-2.48)10.391Cardiovascular mortalityAge groups, yIIIII0.954≤6530/7252.65 (0.77-8.52)2.20 (0.63-7.74)1.20 (0.32-4.59)10.096>65104/6622.78 (1.47-5.26)1.95 (1.02-3.73)1.88 (0.95-3.72)10.002ISex groupsIIIIIII0.014IIMen95/9032.98 (1.48-6.00)2.57 (1.28-5.15)1.73 (0.82-3.66)10.01I0.684Momen39/4842.72 (0.97-7.60)1.05 (0.5-5.15)1.33 (0.33-3.26)10.100.205BMI, kg/m²I3.94845.18 (2.35-11.41)2.52 (1.12-5.64)2.85 (1.22-6.65)10.100.20524484/7365.18 (2.35-11.41)2.52 (1.12-5.64)1.20 (0.46-3.15)1.40.0210.335Extent of CAD81/7882.29 (1.05-3.05)1.83 (0.78-4.26)1.09 (0.40-2.99)10.0520.38Nonobstructive53/5992.61 (1.16-5.86)0.90 (0.35-2.34)1.09 (0.40-2.99)10.016IGGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²IIIIIIIII26039/2123.35 (1.04-10.82)1.55 (0.48-5.05)2.48 (0.77-8.03)10.018IBlood pressure, mMHgIIIIIIIIII </td <td>≥140/90</td> <td>93/548</td> <td>3.05 (1.53–6.09)</td> <td>2.01 (0.99-4.07)</td> <td>1.85 (0.88–3.86)</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.002</td> <td></td> | ≥140/90 | 93/548 | 3.05 (1.53–6.09) | 2.01 (0.99-4.07) | 1.85 (0.88–3.86) | 1 | 0.002 | |
| Cardiovascular mortalityAge groups, yIIII0.054 ≤ 65 $30/725$ $2.65 (0.77-8.52)$ $2.20 (0.63-7.4)$ $1.20 (0.32-4.59)$ 1.0 0.006 > 565 $104/622$ $2.78 (1.47-5.26)$ $1.95 (1.02-3.73)$ $1.88 (0.95-3.72)$ 1.0 0.001 Sex groupsIII 0.020 I 0.021 0.021 Men $95/903$ $2.98 (1.48-6.00)$ $2.57 (1.28-5.15)$ $1.73 (0.82-3.66)$ 1.0 0.001 0.021 $Women$ $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1.0 0.021 0.205 $Women$ $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1.0 0.021 0.205 $Women$ $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1.0 0.021 0.205 $Women$ $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1.0 0.021 0.205 24 $84/736$ $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.65)$ 1.0 0.031 0.331 224 $50/651$ $1.25 (0.51-3.05)$ $1.83 (0.78-4.26)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1.0 0.032 $Shronobstructive53/5992.61 (1.16-5.86)0.90 (0.35-2.34)1.09 (0.40-2.99)1.00.082Obstructive53/7592.29 (1.05-5.00)2.47 (1.7-5.22)2.08 (0.96-4.52)1.00.872$ | <140/90 | 112/839 | 1.36 (0.76–2.44) | 1.13 (0.62–2.04) | 1.39 (0.78–2.48) | 1 | 0.391 | |
| Age groups, yImage for the set of the se | Cardiovascular mortality | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 655 $30/725$ $2.65 (0.77-8.52)$ $2.20 (0.63-7.74)$ $1.20 (0.32-4.59)$ 1 0.096 >65 $104/662$ $2.78 (1.47-5.26)$ $1.95 (1.02-3.73)$ $1.88 (0.95-3.72)$ 1 0.002 Sex groups 1 $95/903$ $2.98 (1.48-6.00)$ $2.57 (1.28-5.15)$ $1.73 (0.82-3.66)$ 1 0.001 Women $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1 0.029 BMI, kg/m² 1 $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1 0.021 ≥ 24 $84/736$ $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.55)$ 1 0.033 ≥ 24 $84/736$ $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.55)$ 1 0.393 Extent of CAD $1.25 (0.51-3.05)$ $1.83 (0.78-4.26)$ $1.20 (0.46-3.15)$ 1 0.393 Nonobstructive $53/599$ $2.61 (1.16-5.86)$ $0.90 (0.35-2.34)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.052 Obstructive $81/788$ $2.29 (1.05-5.00)$ $2.47 (1.7-5.22)$ $2.08 (0.96-4.52)$ 1 0.016 < 667 $39/212$ $3.35 (1.04-10.82)$ $1.55 (0.48-5.05)$ $2.48 (0.77-8.03)$ 1 0.088 $< >66039/2123.35 (1.04-10.82)1.55 (0.48-5.05)2.48 (0.77-8.03)10.008> 2.6095/11752.40 (1.22-4.69)2.01 (1.33-3.90)1.55 (0.76-3.15)10.008> 2.6095/11752.40 (1.22-4.69$ | Age groups, y | | | | | | | 0.954 |
| >65104/6622.78 (1.47-5.26)1.95 (1.02-3.73)1.88 (0.95-3.72)10.002Sex groups $<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<><$ | ≤65 | 30/725 | 2.65 (0.77-8.52) | 2.20 (0.63-7.74) | 1.20 (0.32-4.59) | 1 | 0.096 | |
| Sex groupsImage: s | >65 | 104/662 | 2.78 (1.47-5.26) | 1.95 (1.02–3.73) | 1.88 (0.95–3.72) | 1 | 0.002 | |
| Men95/903 $2.98 (1.48-6.00)$ $2.57 (1.28-5.15)$ $1.73 (0.82-3.66)$ 1 0.001 Women $39/484$ $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1 0.029 BMI, kg/m² $ 0.001$ <24 $84/736$ $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.65)$ 1 <0.001 ≥ 24 $50/651$ $1.25 (0.51-3.05)$ $1.83 (0.78-4.26)$ $1.20 (0.46-3.15)$ 1 0.393 Extent of CAD $ 0.383$ Nonobstructive $53/599$ $2.61 (1.16-5.86)$ $0.90 (0.35-2.34)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.052 Obstructive $81/788$ $2.29 (1.05-5.00)$ $2.47 (1.17-5.22)$ $2.08 (0.96-4.52)$ 1 0.019 eGFR, mL/min per $1.73 m^2$ $ 0.842$ <60 $39/212$ $3.35 (1.04-10.82)$ $1.55 (0.48-5.05)$ $2.48 (0.77-8.03)$ 1 0.008 ≥ 60 $95/1175$ $2.40 (1.22-4.69)$ $2.01 (1.03-3.90)$ $1.55 (0.76-3.15)$ 1 0.001 $\geq 140/90$ $61/548$ $5.12 (1.91-13.70)$ $3.80 (1.40-10.34)$ $2.59 (0.89-7.52)$ 1 0.016 $< 140/90$ $73/839$ $1.78 (0.84-3.75)$ $1.38 (0.65-2.92)$ $1.61 (0.76-3.43)$ 1 0.068 | Sex groups | | | | | | | 0.684 |
| Women39/484 $2.72 (0.97-7.60)$ $1.05 (0.35-3.08)$ $1.03 (0.33-3.26)$ 1 0.029 BMI, kg/m²CCCC 0.205 <2484/736 $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.65)$ 1 <0.001 ≥ 24 $50/651$ $1.25 (0.51-3.05)$ $1.83 (0.78-4.26)$ $1.20 (0.46-3.15)$ 1 0.393 Extent of CADCC $0.99 (0.35-2.34)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.052 0.38 Nonobstructive $53/599$ $2.61 (1.16-5.86)$ $0.90 (0.35-2.34)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.019 Obstructive $81/788$ $2.29 (1.05-5.00)$ $2.47 (1.17-5.22)$ $2.08 (0.96-4.52)$ 1 0.019 eGFR, mL/min per $1.73 m^2$ C $3.35 (1.04-10.82)$ $1.55 (0.48-5.05)$ $2.48 (0.77-8.03)$ 1 0.088 ≥ 60 $95/1175$ $2.40 (1.22-4.69)$ $2.01 (1.03-3.90)$ $1.55 (0.76-3.15)$ 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm HgCC $1.38 (0.65-2.92)$ $1.61 (0.76-3.43)$ 1 0.001 $\geq 140/90$ $61/548$ $5.12 (1.91-13.70)$ $3.80 (1.40-10.34)$ $2.59 (0.89-7.52)$ 1 0.001 | Men | 95/903 | 2.98 (1.48-6.00) | 2.57 (1.28–5.15) | 1.73 (0.82–3.66) | 1 | 0.001 | |
| BMI, kg/m²Image: marked | Women | 39/484 | 2.72 (0.97–7.60) | 1.05 (0.35–3.08) | 1.03 (0.33–3.26) | 1 | 0.029 | |
| <24 $84/736$ $5.18 (2.35-11.41)$ $2.52 (1.12-5.64)$ $2.85 (1.22-6.65)$ 1 <0.001 ≥ 24 $50/651$ $1.25 (0.51-3.05)$ $1.83 (0.78-4.26)$ $1.20 (0.46-3.15)$ 1 0.393 Extent of CAD 0.383 Nonobstructive $53/599$ $2.61 (1.16-5.86)$ $0.90 (0.35-2.34)$ $1.09 (0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.052 Obstructive $81/788$ $2.29 (1.05-5.00)$ $2.47 (1.17-5.22)$ $2.08 (0.96-4.52)$ 1 0.019 eGFR, mL/min per $1.73 m^2$ 0.842 <60 $39/212$ $3.35 (1.04-10.82)$ $1.55 (0.48-5.05)$ $2.48 (0.77-8.03)$ 1 0.088 ≥ 60 $95/175$ $2.40 (1.22-4.69)$ $2.01 (1.03-3.90)$ $1.55 (0.76-3.15)$ 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm Hg </td <td>BMI, kg/m²</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.205</td> | BMI, kg/m ² | | | | | | | 0.205 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | <24 | 84/736 | 5.18 (2.35–11.41) | 2.52 (1.12-5.64) | 2.85 (1.22-6.65) | 1 | <0.001 | |
| Extent of CADImage: constraint of CAD <td>≥24</td> <td>50/651</td> <td>1.25 (0.51–3.05)</td> <td>1.83 (0.78–4.26)</td> <td>1.20 (0.46–3.15)</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.393</td> <td></td> | ≥24 | 50/651 | 1.25 (0.51–3.05) | 1.83 (0.78–4.26) | 1.20 (0.46–3.15) | 1 | 0.393 | |
| Nonobstructive53/599 $2.61(1.16-5.86)$ $0.90(0.35-2.34)$ $1.09(0.40-2.99)$ 1 0.052 Obstructive $81/788$ $2.29(1.05-5.00)$ $2.47(1.17-5.22)$ $2.08(0.96-4.52)$ 1 0.019 eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m^2 $ 0.842$ <60 $39/212$ $3.35(1.04-10.82)$ $1.55(0.48-5.05)$ $2.48(0.77-8.03)$ 1 0.088 ≥ 60 $95/1175$ $2.40(1.22-4.69)$ $2.01(1.03-3.90)$ $1.55(0.76-3.15)$ 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm Hg $ \geq 140/90$ $61/548$ $5.12(1.91-13.70)$ $3.80(1.40-10.34)$ $2.59(0.89-7.52)$ 1 0.016 $<140/90$ $73/839$ $1.78(0.84-3.75)$ $1.38(0.65-2.92)$ $1.61(0.76-3.43)$ 1 0.168 | Extent of CAD | | | | | | | 0.38 |
| Obstructive 81/788 2.29 (1.05-5.00) 2.47 (1.17-5.22) 2.08 (0.96-4.52) 1 0.019 eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² 0.842 <60 39/212 3.35 (1.04-10.82) 1.55 (0.48-5.05) 2.48 (0.77-8.03) 1 0.088 ≥60 95/1175 2.40 (1.22-4.69) 2.01 (1.03-3.90) 1.55 (0.76-3.15) 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm Hg 0.016 ≥140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91-13.70) 3.80 (1.40-10.34) 2.59 (0.89-7.52) 1 0.001 <140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91-3.70) 1.38 (0.65-2.92) 1.61 (0.76-3.43) 1 0.168 | Nonobstructive | 53/599 | 2.61 (1.16–5.86) | 0.90 (0.35–2.34) | 1.09 (0.40–2.99) | 1 | 0.052 | |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m^2 Image: model with the matrix of the matri | Obstructive | 81/788 | 2.29 (1.05–5.00) | 2.47 (1.17–5.22) | 2.08 (0.96-4.52) | 1 | 0.019 | |
| <60 39/212 3.35 (1.04−10.82) 1.55 (0.48−5.05) 2.48 (0.77−8.03) 1 0.088 ≥60 95/1175 2.40 (1.22−4.69) 2.01 (1.03−3.90) 1.55 (0.76−3.15) 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm Hg 0.016 ≥140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91−13.70) 3.80 (1.40−10.34) 2.59 (0.89−7.52) 1 0.001 <140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91−3.70) 1.38 (0.65−2.92) 1.61 (0.76−3.43) 1 0.016 | eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | | | | | | | 0.842 |
| ≥60 95/1175 2.40 (1.22–4.69) 2.01 (1.03–3.90) 1.55 (0.76–3.15) 1 0.008 Blood pressure, mm Hg 0.016 ≥140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91–13.70) 3.80 (1.40–10.34) 2.59 (0.89–7.52) 1 0.001 <140/90 73/839 1.78 (0.84–3.75) 1.38 (0.65–2.92) 1.61 (0.76–3.43) 1 0.168 | <60 | 39/212 | 3.35 (1.04–10.82) | 1.55 (0.48–5.05) | 2.48 (0.77-8.03) | 1 | 0.088 | |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg Image: Minipage Ima | ≥60 | 95/1175 | 2.40 (1.22-4.69) | 2.01 (1.03–3.90) | 1.55 (0.76–3.15) | 1 | 0.008 | |
| ≥140/90 61/548 5.12 (1.91−13.70) 3.80 (1.40−10.34) 2.59 (0.89−7.52) 1 0.001 <140/90 | Blood pressure, mm Hg | | | | | | | 0.016 |
| <140/90 73/839 1.78 (0.84–3.75) 1.38 (0.65–2.92) 1.61 (0.76–3.43) 1 0.168 | ≥140/90 | 61/548 | 5.12 (1.91–13.70) | 3.80 (1.40–10.34) | 2.59 (0.89–7.52) | 1 | 0.001 | |
| | <140/90 | 73/839 | 1.78 (0.84–3.75) | 1.38 (0.65–2.92) | 1.61 (0.76–3.43) | 1 | 0.168 | |
| No. of death/No. Free 25-hydroxyvitamin D Pfor Pfor | Subpopulation | No. of death/No. | Free 25-hydroxyvitamin D | | | | P for | Pfor |
| Subpopulation of participants Quartile 1 Quartile 2 Quartile 3 Quartile 4 Trend Interaction | | of participants | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | Trend | Interaction |
| All-cause mortality | All-cause mortality | | | | | | | |
| Age groups, y 0.32 | Age groups, y | | | | | | | 0.32 |
| <i>≤</i> 65 42/725 1.00 (0.38−2.68) 1.05 (0.39−2.86) 1.25 (0.49−3.23) 1 0.96 | ≤65 | 42/725 | 1.00 (0.38–2.68) | 1.05 (0.39–2.86) | 1.25 (0.49–3.23) | 1 | 0.96 | |

Table 3. Continued

| | No. of Death/No. | Free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D | | | | Pfor | Pfor |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| Subpopulation | of Participants | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | Trend | Interaction |
| >65 | 163/662 | 1.81 (1.11–2.94) | 1.75 (1.08–2.83) | 1.23 (0.74–2.06) | 1 | 0.007 | |
| Sex groups | | | | | | | 0.911 |
| Men | 141/903 | 2.05 (1.23–3.44) | 1.43 (0.82–2.49) | 2.08 (1.22–3.55) | 1 | 0.018 | |
| Women | 64/484 | 0.93 (0.40–2.19) | 1.44 (0.65–3.16) | 0.54 (0.22–1.31) | 1 | 0.701 | |
| BMI, kg/m ² | | | | | | | 0.108 |
| <24 | 127/736 | 2.78 (1.50–5.14) | 2.40 (1.32-4.39) | 1.87 (0.99–3.53) | 1 | 0.001 | |
| ≥24 | 78/651 | 0.54 (0.28–1.06) | 0.61 (0.30-1.25) | 0.70 (0.36–1.35) | 1 | 0.65 | |
| Extent of CAD | | | | | | | 0.268 |
| Nonobstructive | 84/599 | 2.22 (1.10-4.51) | 1.94 (0.91–4.15) | 1.34 (0.61–2.97) | 1 | 0.016 | |
| Obstructive | 121/788 | 1.15 (0.67–1.98) | 1.25 (0.73–2.16) | 1.16 (0.67–2.03) | 1 | 0.538 | |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | | | | | | | 0.754 |
| <60 | 57/212 | 0.98 (0.41–2.30) | 1.73 (0.77–3.90) | 1.22 (0.50–2.97) | 1 | 0.806 | |
| ≥60 | 148/1175 | 1.89 (1.15–3.12) | 1.50 (0.89–2.52) | 1.41 (0.83–2.40) | 1 | 0.014 | |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg | | | | | | | 0.19 |
| ≥140/90 | 93/548 | 2.27 (1.15–4.46) | 1.89 (0.95–3.74) | 1.62 (0.78–3.35) | 1 | 0.015 | |
| <140/90 | 112/839 | 1.55 (0.87–2.75) | 1.46 (0.80-2.66) | 1.04 (0.57–1.92) | 1 | 0.099 | |
| Cardiovascular mortality | | | · | | | | |
| Age groups, y | | | | | | | 0.582 |
| ≤65 | 30/725 | 1.13 (0.36–3.52) | 1.08 (0.33–3.55) | 0.51 (0.14–1.86) | 1 | 0.626 | |
| >65 | 104/662 | 2.30 (1.23–4.33) | 1.89 (1.00–3.59) | 1.51 (0.77–2.93) | 1 | 0.007 | |
| Sex groups | | | | | | | 0.645 |
| Men | 95/903 | 2.36 (1.24-4.48) | 1.68 (0.85–3.33) | 1.93 (0.97–3.85) | 1 | 0.014 | |
| Women | 39/484 | 1.00 (0.35–2.89) | 1.15 (0.41–3.18) | 0.33 (0.10–1.11) | 1 | 0.688 | |
| BMI, kg/m ² | | | | | | | 0.068 |
| <24 | 84/736 | 4.51 (2.01–10.09) | 3.13 (1.41–6.93) | 1.95 (0.83–4.58) | 1 | <0.001 | |
| ≥24 | 50/651 | 0.54 (0.23–1.26) | 0.61 (0.25–1.47) | 0.83 (0.37–1.84) | 1 | 0.125 | |
| Extent of CAD | | | | | | | 0.372 |
| Nonobstructive | 53/599 | 3.16 (1.18–8.50) | 2.52 (0.88–7.21) | 1.79 (0.62–5.23) | 1 | 0.015 | |
| Obstructive | 81/788 | 1.40 (0.72–2.73) | 1.39 (0.70–2.73) | 1.09 (0.53–2.21) | 1 | 0.26 | |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | | | | | | | 0.871 |
| <60 | 39/212 | 1.34 (0.44–4.05) | 2.45 (0.86–6.97) | 1.45 (0.45-4.65) | 1 | 0.418 | |
| ≥60 | 95/1175 | 2.28 (1.21–4.31) | 1.65 (0.84–3.24) | 1.38 (0.70–2.75) | 1 | 0.012 | |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg | | | | | | | 0.004 |
| ≥140/90 | 61/548 | 6.53 (2.28–18.77) | 4.59 (1.57–13.42) | 3.40 (1.08–10.76) | 1 | <0.001 | |
| <140/90 | 73/839 | 1.15 (0.57–2.30) | 1.03 (0.50–2.11) | 0.87 (0.43–1.77) | 1 | 0.697 | |

BMI indicates body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; and eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate.

*Adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, systolic pressure, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, extent of CAD, presence or absence of acute CAD, presence or absence of coronary revascularization, use or nonuse of statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, and β -blockers, season of blood-drawing, leisure-time physical activity, estimated glomerular filtration rate, calcium, parathyroid hormone, and C-reactive protein.

recent observational study showed that high DBP level was associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events in a community-based population.³³ However, another case-control study (382 cases) suggested an inverse association

between serum DBP levels and risk of coronary heart disease.³⁵ Whether DBP levels positively or negatively affect the adverse outcomes in cardiovascular diseases is still under the debate, but it is vital to notice that DBP is involved in the inflammatory processes via the mechanism of immunity modulation.³⁶ We did not find that DBP levels were related to mortality in this angiographically confirmed CAD cohort. Nevertheless, because of the difference in the systemic inflammatory status between patients with CAD and the general populations, we cannot rule out the possibility that DBP is correlated with cardiovascular outcomes among other populations. Further studies are warranted to determine such relationship.

It is necessary to point out that some unmeasured confounders may be prevalent in our cohort and associated with mortality. Although we have made adjustment for known conventional risk factors of cardiovascular disease and other potentially confounding factors before performing the mediation analysis, we were unable to rule out the possibility that residual confounding still remain. In addition, only baseline serum vitamin D metabolites levels were measured for the cohort. Aside from significant seasonal variation, biological variation of vitamin D status within an individual over time is expected, such as through improved lifestyle. Last, our cohort included only Han ethnic group of Chinese, so present results should be taken with caution when generalized to other ethnic populations. Nevertheless, this study also has several strengths, including a large sample size of CAD patients who have undergone a detailed clinical and metabolic investigation, including coronary angiography. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the exploration of the relationship between biomarkers of vitamin D status beyond total 25(OH)D and mortality in a prospective CAD cohort.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that bioavailable and free 25(OH)D are potential predictors of adverse outcomes among CAD patients, and further studies in diverse populations are needed to verify whether our findings have broader implications.

Acknowledgments

We thank all investigators and participants of the Guangdong Coronary Artery Disease Cohort for their valuable contributions.

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by the Key Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81130052).

Disclosures

References

- Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:266–281. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra070553
- Pilz S, Verheyen N, Grübler MR, Tomaschitz A, März W. Vitamin D and cardiovascular disease prevention. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2016;13:404–417. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.73
- Wang TJ, Pencina MJ, Booth SL, Jacques PF, Ingelsson E, Lanier K, Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Wolf M, Vasan RS. Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2008;117:503–511. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.706127
- Melamed ML, Michos ED, Post W, Astor B. 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and the risk of mortality in the general population. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168:1629–1637.
- 5. Grandi NC, Breitling LP, Vossen CY, Hahmann H, Wüsten B, März W, Rothenbacher D, Brenner H. Serum vitamin D and risk of secondary

cardiovascular disease events in patients with stable coronary heart disease. Am Heart J. 2010;159:1044–1051. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2010.03.031

- Dobnig H, Pilz S, Scharnagl H, Renner W, Seelhorst U, Wellnitz B, Kinkeldei J, Boehm BO, Weihrauch G, Maerz W. Independent association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168:1340–1349. doi: 10.1001/archinte.168.12.1340
- Zittermann A, Ernst JB, Prokop S, Fuchs U, Dreier J, Kuhn J, Knabbe C, Birschmann I, Schulz U, Berthold HK, Pilz S, Gouni-Berthold I, Gummert JF, Dittrich M, Börgermann J. Effect of vitamin D on all-cause mortality in heart failure (EVITA): a 3-year randomized clinical trial with 4000IU vitamin D daily. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:2279–2286. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx235
- Scragg R, Stewart AW, Waayer D, Lawes CMM, Toop L, Sluyter J, Murphy J, Khaw KT, Camargo CA Jr. Effect of monthly high-dose vitamin D supplementation on cardiovascular disease in the vitamin D assessment study: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2017;2:608–616. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2017.0175
- Lappe J, Watson P, Travers-Gustafson D, Recker R, Garland C, Gorham E, Baggerly K, McDonnell SL. Effect of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on cancer incidence in older women: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2017;317:1234–1243. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.2115
- Bikle DD, Malmstroem S, Schwartz J. Current controversies: are free vitamin metabolite levels a more accurate assessment of vitamin D status than total levels? *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 2017;46:901–918. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2017.07.013
- Tsuprykov O, Chen X, Hocher CF, Skoblo R, Lianghong Yin, Hocher B. Why should we measure free 25(OH) vitamin D? J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2018;180:87–104. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2017.11.014
- Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM. A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84:3666–3672. doi: 10.1210/jcem.84.10.6079
- 13. Powe CE, Evans MK, Wenger J, Zonderman AB, Berg AH, Nalls M, Tamez H, Zhang D, Bhan I, Karumanchi SA, Powe NR, Thadhani R. Vitamin D-binding protein and vitamin D status of black Americans and white Americans. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1991–2000. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1306357
- Rebholz CM, Grams ME, Lutsey PL, Hoofnagle AN, Misialek JR, Inker LA, Levey AS, Selvin E, Hsu CY, Kimmel PL, Vasan RS, Eckfeldt JH, Coresh J; Chronic Kidney Disease Biomarkers Consortium. Biomarkers of vitamin D status and risk of ESRD. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2016;67:235–242. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.08.026
- Sauer CG, Loop MS, Venkateswaran S, et al. Free and bioavailable 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations are associated with disease activity in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed treatment naïve ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2018;24:641–650. doi: 10.1093/ibd/izx052
- 16. Gibbons RJ, Chatterjee K, Daley J, Douglas JS, Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Grunwald MA, Levy D, Lytle BW, O'Rourke RA, Schafer WP, Williams SV. ACC/AHA/ACP-ASIM guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: executive summary and recommendations. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Management of Patients with Chronic Stable Angina). *Circulation*. 1999;99:2829–2848.
- 17. Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary and recommendations. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (committee on the management of patients with unstable angina). *Circulation*. 2000;102:1193–1209.
- Li Q, Zhang Y, Ding D, Yang Y, Chen Q, Su D, Chen X, Yang W, Qiu J, Ling W. Association between serum fibroblast growth factor 21 and mortality among patients with coronary artery disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101:4886–4894. doi: 10.1210/jc.2016-2308
- Ding D, Qiu J, Li X, Li D, Xia M, Li Z, Su D, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Lv X, Xiao Y, Hu G, Ling W. Hyperglycemia and mortality among patients with coronary artery disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37:546–554. doi: 10.2337/dc13-1387
- Maddox TM, Stanislawski MA, Grunwald GK, Bradley SM, Ho PM, Tsai TT, Patel MR, Sandhu A, Valle J, Magid DJ, Leon B, Bhatt DL, Fihn SD, Rumsfeld JS. Nonobstructive coronary artery disease and risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 2014;312:1754–1763. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.14681
- Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al; American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management

None.

of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation*. 2012;126:e354–e471. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e318277d6a0

- Hoofnagle AN, Eckfeldt JH, Lutsey PL. Vitamin D-binding protein concentrations quantified by mass spectrometry. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:1480–1482. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1502602
- Nielson CM, Jones KS, Bouillon R, et al; Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Research Group. Role of assay type in determining free 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in diverse populations. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:1695–1696. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1513502
- 24. Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T, Stevens LA, Zhang YL, Hendriksen S, Kusek JW, Van Lente F; Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration. Using standardized serum creatinine values in the modification of diet in renal disease study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;145:247–254. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-145-4-200608150-00004
- 25. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 european guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: the Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts)Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2315–2381. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw106
- D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, Kannel WB. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008;117:743–753. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB. Overall C as a measure of discrimination in survival analysis: model specific population value and confidence interval estimation. *Stat Med.* 2004;23:2109–2123. doi: 10.1002/sim.1802
- Yuan W, Pan W, Kong J, Zheng W, Szeto FL, Wong KE, Cohen R, Klopot A, Zhang Z, Li YC. 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 suppresses renin gene

transcription by blocking the activity of the cyclic AMP response element in the renin gene promoter. *J Biol Chem.* 2007;282:29821–29830. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M705495200

- 29. Oh J, Weng S, Felton SK, Bhandare S, Riek A, Butler B, Proctor BM, Petty M, Chen Z, Schechtman KB, Bernal-Mizrachi L, Bernal-Mizrachi C. 1,25(OH)2 vitamin d inhibits foam cell formation and suppresses macrophage cholesterol uptake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. 2009;120:687–698. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.856070
- Bhan I, Powe CE, Berg AH, Ankers E, Wenger JB, Karumanchi SA, Thadhani RI. Bioavailable vitamin D is more tightly linked to mineral metabolism than total vitamin D in incident hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* 2012;82:84–89. doi: 10.1038/ki.2012.19
- 31. Shlipak MG, Simon JA, Grady D, Lin F, Wenger NK, Furberg CD; Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS) Investigators. Renal insufficiency and cardiovascular events in postmenopausal women with coronary heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;38:705–711. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(01)01450-4
- Shlipak MG, Heidenreich PA, Noguchi H, Chertow GM, Browner WS, McClellan MB. Association of renal insufficiency with treatment and outcomes after myocardial infarction in elderly patients. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;137:555–562.
- 33. Robinson-Cohen C, Zelnick LR, Hoofnagle AN, Lutsey PL, Burke G, Michos ED, Shea SJC, Tracy R, Siscovick DS, Psaty B, Kestenbaum B, de Boer IH. Associations of vitamin D-binding globulin and bioavailable vitamin D concentrations with coronary heart disease events: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:3075–3084.
- 34. Li YC, Kong J, Wei M, Chen ZF, Liu SQ, Cao LP. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D(3) is a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system. *J Clin Invest*. 2002;110:229–238. doi: 10.1172/JCI15219
- 35. Qi L, Ma W, Heianza Y, Zheng Y, Wang T, Sun D, Rimm EB, Hu FB, Giovannucci E, Albert CM, Rexrode KM, Manson JE. Independent and synergistic associations of biomarkers of vitamin D status with risk of coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2017;37:2204–2212. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.309548
- Chun RF. New perspectives on the vitamin D binding protein. Cell Biochem Funct. 2012;30:445–456. doi: 10.1002/cbf.2835